

**Table 4: Crude provincial prevalence estimates for coronary heart disease algorithms, 2001/02 -2005/06**

# Years	Algorithm	Prevalence Estimates (%)
1	1 1+P	3.4
	2 2+P	2.3
	3 1+H or 1+ P	3.6
	4 1+ H or 2+ P	2.5
	5 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	21.4
	6 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	20.4
	7 1+H or 2+P or (1 P and 2+Rx)	3.3
2	8 1+P	4.8
	9 2+P	3.5
	10 1+H or 1+ P	5.0
	11 1+ H or 2+ P	3.8
	12 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	23.0
	13 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	21.8
	14 1+H or 2+P or 1 P and 2+Rx)	4.7
3	15 1+P	5.9
	16 2+P	4.3
	17 1+H or 1+ P	6.1
	18 1+ H or 2+ P	4.7
	19 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	24.3
	20 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	22.9
	21 1+H or 2+P or (1 P and 2+Rx)	5.7
5	22 1+P	7.5
	23 2+P	5.6
	24 1+H or 1+ P	7.8
	25 1+ H or 2+ P	6.0
	26 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	26.3
	27 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	24.5
	28 1+H or 2+P or (1 P and 2+Rx)	7.2

*Notes:*

- \* # Years = number of years of administrative data to which the case ascertainment algorithm was applied. For example, 1+P in one year identifies individuals as disease cases if they had one or more physician billing claims with the relevant diagnosis code(s) in a one-year period. The algorithm 1+H or 2+P in one year identifies individuals as disease cases if they had one or more hospitalization or two or more physician claims with the relevant diagnosis code(s) in a one-year period.
- \* 1-year estimates are for 2005/06, 2-year estimates are for 2004/05 - 2005/06, 3-year estimates are for 2003/04 - 2005/06, 5-year estimates are for 2001/02 - 2005/06.
- \* H = Hospital separation; P = Physician billing claim; Rx = Prescription drug record.

Source: Lix L, Yogendran M, Mann J. *Defining and Validating Chronic Diseases: An Administrative Data Approach. An Update with ICD-10-CA*. Winnipeg, MB: Manitoba Centre for Health Policy, November 2008.