

**Table 4: Crude provincial prevalence estimates for diabetes algorithms, 2001/02 -2005/06**

# Years	Algorithms	Prevalence Estimates (%)
1	1 1+P	6.5
	2 2+P	4.8
	3 1+H or 1+ P	6.7
	4 1+ H or 2+ P	5.1
	5 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	7.6
	6 1+ H or 2+ P or 1+ Rx	6.7
	7 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	7.5
	8 1+ H or 2+ P or 2+ Rx	6.6
2	9 1+P	7.9
	10 2+P	6.2
	11 1+H or 1+ P	8.1
	12 1+ H or 2+ P	6.5
	13 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	8.6
	14 1+ H or 2+ P or 1+ Rx	7.5
	15 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	8.5
	16 1+ H or 2+ P or 2+ Rx	7.3
3	17 1+P	8.8
	18 2+P	7.0
	19 1+H or 1+ P	9.0
	20 1+ H or 2+ P	7.3
	21 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	9.4
	22 1+ H or 2+ P or 1+ Rx	8.0
	23 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	9.3
	24 1+ H or 2+ P or 2+ Rx	7.8
5	25 1+P	10.0
	26 2+P	7.8
	27 1+H or 1+ P	10.2
	28 1+ H or 2+ P	8.1
	29 1+ H or 1+ P or 1+ Rx	10.6
	30 1+ H or 2+ P or 1+ Rx	8.6
	31 1+ H or 1+ P or 2+ Rx	10.5
	32 1+ H or 2+ P or 2+ Rx	8.5

*Notes:*

- \* # Years = number of years of administrative data to which the case ascertainment algorithm was applied. For example, 1+P in one year identifies individuals as disease cases if they had one or more physician billing claims with the relevant diagnosis code(s) in a one-year period. The algorithm 1+H or 2+P in one year identifies individuals as disease cases if they had one or more hospitalization or two or more physician claims with the relevant diagnosis code(s) in a one-year period.
- \* 1-year estimates are for 2005/06, 2-year estimates are for 2004/05 - 2005/06, 3-year estimates are for 2003/04 - 2005/06, 5-year estimates are for 2001/02 - 2005/06.
- \* H = Hospital separation; P = Physician billing claim; Rx = Prescription drug record.

Source: Lix L, Yogendran M, Mann J. *Defining and Validating Chronic Diseases: An Administrative Data Approach. An Update with ICD-10-CA*. Winnipeg, MB: Manitoba Centre for Health Policy, November 2008.